

OVERSIGHT BOARD FOR THE CITY OF HEALDSBURG

RESOLUTION NO. OB1- 2012

A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD FOR THE CITY OF HEALDSBURG APPROVING THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2012; MAKING RELATED FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS AND TAKING RELATED ACTIONS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

WHEREAS, on June 28, 2011, the Governor of California signed into law ABx1 26 and ABx 27 that purported to dissolve all redevelopment agencies in the State of California as of October 1, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the California Redevelopment Association and other interested parties filed a petition with the California Supreme Court requesting that said Court strike down ABx1 26 and ABx 27 as being unconstitutional;

WHEREAS, on December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court delivered its decision in *California Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos*, finding ABx1 26 (the "Dissolution Act") largely constitutional; and

WHEREAS, under the Dissolution Act and the California Supreme Court's decision, all California redevelopment agencies, including the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Healdsburg (the Dissolved RDA), were dissolved on February 1, 2012; and

WHEREAS, six months prior to the Governor signing the Dissolution Act into law, the City of Healdsburg ("City") and the Dissolved RDA entered into a Cooperative Agreement whereby the City agreed to carry out certain activities described in the Dissolved RDA's redevelopment plan and its Implementation Plan in exchange for a pledge of assets from the Dissolved RDA, which assets were designated for the completion of said activities; and

WHEREAS, a Complaint in Validation was filed on March 4, 2011 in the Superior Court of California County of Sonoma by the City and Dissolved RDA approximately three and a half months prior to the Governor signing the Dissolution Act into law; and

WHEREAS, the City of Healdsburg and the Dissolved RDA obtained a Judgment of Validation; and

WHEREAS, the Judgment of Validation found "*The Cooperative Agreement and each and every provision therein constitutes a lawful, valid, and binding obligation under the law of California and the United States, subsisting in full force and effect and enforceable according to its terms*"; and

WHEREAS, the Judgment of Validation also acknowledges, “*Each and every recital, finding, determination or legal conclusion stated in City Resolution No. 14-2011 and Agency Resolution No. RDA1-2011 and the Cooperative Agreement is true and correct in all respects*”; and

WHEREAS, the projects referred in the Judgment of Validation are reflected in lines 1 – 42 on page two of the ROPS; and

WHEREAS, on January 30, 2012, the City Council of the City of Healdsburg (“Council”) adopted a resolution designating the City as Successor Agency to the Dissolved RDA (“Successor Agency”); and

WHEREAS, under the Dissolution Act the City in its capacity as Successor Agency must prepare a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (“ROPS”) that enumerates the enforceable obligations and expenses of the Successor Agency for the six-month period ending June 30, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the City, acting in its capacity as the Successor Agency, prepared the ROPS for the six-month period ending June 30, 2012; and

WHEREAS, under the Dissolution Act, the ROPS must be certified by the County Auditor-Controller (or designee) and approved by the Oversight Board to enable the Successor Agency to continue to make payments on enforceable obligations; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Dissolution Act, the duly-constituted Oversight Board convened a public meeting on April 10, 2012 to obtain an overview of the Dissolution Act and the ROPS, and further at the same public meeting considered specific obligations listed on the ROPS and approvals of the ROPS; and

WHEREAS, the Oversight Board reviewed the ROPS presented by Successor Agency staff, and also reviewed and considered written and oral comments from the public relating thereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Oversight Board for the City of Healdsburg hereby finds, resolves, and determines as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. Under Health and Safety Code § 34180(g), establishment of a ROPS for the Successor Agency must be approved by the Oversight Board.
3. The Oversight Board hereby approves the ROPS in the form presented to the Oversight Board and attached hereto as Exhibit A, including the agreements and obligations described in the ROPS, and hereby determines that such agreements and obligations constitute

“enforceable obligations” or “recognized obligations” for all purposes of the Dissolution Act. In connection with such approval, the Oversight Board makes the specific findings set forth below.

4. The Oversight Board has determined that none of the agreements or obligations of the Dissolved RDA with private parties (listed as Items 1 – 26 of page 1 the ROPS) should be terminated or renegotiated to reduce liabilities and increase net revenues to the taxing entities. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code §34181(e), the Oversight Board hereby ratifies the determination of the Successor Agency, as the Oversight Board finds that there is insufficient evidence that amendments or early termination of such private agreements would be in the best interests of the taxing entities, and that the continuation of such agreements would further the fiduciary responsibilities of the Oversight Board to the holders of enforceable obligations. For the same reasons, the Oversight Board ratifies the determination of the Successor Agency not to terminate those agreements with public agencies not falling within the scope of Health & Safety Code §34181(d), listed as page 2, items 1 - 42 on the ROPS.
5. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §34181(d), the Oversight Board has considered whether to terminate agreements between the Dissolved RDA and any public entity located in the same county, obligating the Dissolved RDA to provide funding for any debt service obligations of the public entity or for the construction, or operation of facilities owned or operated by such public entity. These agreements are listed as Items 1 – 42 on page 2 on the ROPS. Items 1 – 42 of page 2 are found to be enforceable obligations in that Complaint in Validation (referred to as “Superior Court Judgment” in the ROPS) was filed on March 4, 2011 prior to the Governor signing AB 26 into law. The Judgment of Validation found “*The Cooperative Agreement and each and every provision therein constitutes a lawful, valid, and binding obligation under the law of California and the United States, subsisting in full force and effect and enforceable according to its terms;*” the Judgment also acknowledges, “*Each and every recital, finding, determination or legal conclusion stated in City Resolution No. 14-2011 and Agency Resolution No. RDA1-2011 and the Cooperative Agreement is true and correct in all respects.*”
6. The Oversight Board has examined the remaining items contained on the ROPS and finds that each of them is necessary for the continued maintenance and preservation of property owned by the Successor Agency until disposition and liquidation, the continued administration of the ongoing agreements herein approved by the Oversight Board, or the expeditious wind-down of the affairs of the Dissolved RDA by the Successor Agency. The Oversight Board approves the administrative budget items proposed by the Successor Agency listed as lines 1 – 9 on page 4 of the ROPS.
7. The Successor Agency is authorized and directed to enter into any agreements and amendments to agreements necessary to memorialize and implement the agreements and obligations in the ROPS and herein approved by the Oversight Board.

8. The Oversight Board hereby approves the Administrative Budget, in Substantially the form attached to the Resolution as Exhibit B, as required by Health and Safety Code §34171 (a), (b) and §34177 (j).
9. The Oversight Board authorizes and directs the Successor Agency staff to take all actions necessary under the Dissolution Act to post the ROPS on the Successor Agency website, transmit the ROPS to the Auditor-Controller of the County of Sonoma and to the State Controller and the State Department of Finance, and to take any other actions necessary to ensure the validity of the ROPS or the validity of any enforceable obligation or other agreement approved by the Oversight Board in this Resolution.

The above and foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly passed and adopted at a meeting of the Oversight Board for the City of Healdsburg on the 10th day of April, 2012 by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members: (6) Liles, Herrington, Navarrette, Ward, Ziedrich and Chairperson Plass

NOES: Board Members: (0) None

ABSENT: Board Members: (1) Schaffner

ABSTAINING: Council Members: (0) None

SO ORDERED:

ATTEST:



Gary W. Plass, Chairperson



Maria Curiel, Board Secretary

I, MARIA CURIEL, Secretary to the Oversight Board for the City of Healdsburg, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. OB1-2012 duly adopted by the Oversight Board for the City of Healdsburg at a regular meeting on the 10th day of April 2012.



Maria Curiel, City Clerk

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (NOT CERTIFIED BY COUNTY AUDITORS)
Per AB 26 - Section 34177 (*)

Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Description	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Total Due During Fiscal Year 2011-2012**	*** Funding Source	Payable from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF)					Payments Next Year		
						Payments by month					Jul-12 through Dec-12	Jan-13 through Jul-13	
						Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012			Total 5 Months
DEBT ADMINISTRATION													
1) 2002 Tax Allocation Bonds Series A	The Bank of New York Mellon	Bonds issued to fund non-housing projects	18,968,024	908,638	Reserves, Trust Fund	283,469					283,469	633,469	276,251
2) 2002 Tax Allocation Bonds Series B	USDA	Bonds issued to fund non-housing projects	2,465,587	118,031	Reserves, Trust Fund	35,981					35,981	80,981	34,913
3) 2002 Tax Allocation Bonds Series C	The Bank of New York Mellon	Bonds issued to fund housing projects	7,472,694	361,012	Reserves, Trust Fund	111,656					111,656	251,656	108,769
4) 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds Series A	The Bank of New York Mellon	Bonds issued to fund non-housing projects	19,069,073	928,022	Reserves, Trust Fund	307,630					307,630	622,630	299,613
5) 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds Series B	The Bank of New York Mellon	Bonds issued to fund housing projects	9,246,648	456,155	Reserves, Trust Fund	153,489					153,489	308,489	149,025
6) 2010 Tax Allocation Bonds	The Bank of New York Mellon	Bonds issued to fund non-housing projects	40,172,644	1,191,750	Reserves, Trust Fund	520,125					520,125	675,125	517,800
7) Disclosure	Willdan Financial Services	Fiscal Agent Fees	7,850	7,850	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	3,925	3,925
8) Debt Administration	City of Healdsburg	repayment of loan - PAID IN FULL	618,161	618,161	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
9) Trustee Agreement	The Bank of New York Mellon	Fiscal Agent Fees	242,310	1,500	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	750	750
10) Trustee Agreement	Union Bank	Fiscal Agent Fees	45,177	1,480	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	740	740
11) Property Tax Administration Fee	County of Sonoma	Per SB2557	3,145,124	168,146	Reserves, Trust Fund			25,000			25,000	50,000	50,000
PROPERTY MGMT & MAINT - NON-HOUSING													
12) Area Property Management	Becoming Independent	Maintain irrigation and landscape	2,989	2,989	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
13) Area Property Management	Golden Gate Landscaping	Maintain irrigation and landscape	10,944	10,944	Reserves, Trust Fund	822	822	822	822	822	4,111	4,933	4,933
14) Area Property Management	Tri-City Fence Company	Fence rental	480	480	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
15) Area Property Management	Various suppliers	Maintain irrigation and landscape	11,761	11,761	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
16) Area Property Management	PGE and other utilities	utilities	10,060	10,059	Reserves, Trust Fund	437	467	472	477	487	2,340	2,808	2,808
17) Area Property Management	Plaza East Partners	sewer line settlement	1,700	1,700	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
18) Area Property Management	City of Healdsburg	property maintenance	233,001	138,337	Reserves, Trust Fund	10,789	10,789	10,789	10,789	10,789	53,945	47,332	47,332
OTHER ENFORCEABLE OBLIGATIONS - COMPLETED													
19) Directional sign program	Healdsburg Signs	Directional sign program	20,792	20,792	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
20) Neighborhood Improvement program	The Center for Social & Environmental Stewardship	Neighborhood Improvement program	17,707	17,707	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
21) Central Healdsburg Ave Special Study	Community Design & Architecture Inc.	Special study area plan	446,147	446,147	Reserves, Trust Fund		13,994				13,994	-	-
22) Foss Creek Pathway	City labor costs related to project	Maintain irrigation and landscape	879	879	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
23) Central Healdsburg Ave Special Study	City labor costs related to project	Project management	1,779	1,779	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
24) Water Service Replacement	City labor costs related to project	Utility maintenance	18,230	18,230	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
25) Loan agreement	City of Healdsburg - Community Services	Facility lease	800,000	800,000	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
26) 1201 Grove Street	West Environmental	soil investigation	13,741	13,741	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	-	-
OTHER ENFORCEABLE OBLIGATIONS - EST. EXPIRATION 6/30/13													
27) Economic development	Healdsburg Chamber of Commerce	Economic development	500,000	300,000	Reserves, Trust Fund	75,000				75,000	150,000	100,000	100,000
28)													
Totals - This Page (RPTIF Funding)			103,543,503	6,556,290	N/A	1,499,399	26,072	37,083	12,088	87,098	1,661,740	2,782,838	1,596,858
Totals - Page 2 (Other Funding)			26,853,035	9,669,456	N/A	8,158	62,226	8,158	8,158	7,220,237	7,315,095	5,032,754	2,549,092
Totals - Page 3 (Administrative Cost Allowance)			4,792,500	905,153	N/A	65,000	65,000	65,000	80,000	89,043	435,436	520,672	494,500
Totals - Page 4 (Pass Thru Payments)			74,675,757	2,879,981	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,879,981	-
			209,864,795	20,010,880	-	1,572,557	153,298	110,241	100,246	7,396,378	9,412,271	11,216,245	4,640,450

* The Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) is to be completed by 3/15/2012 by the successor agency, and subsequently be approved by the oversight board and audited by the County.
 ** All totals due during fiscal year and payment amounts are projected.
 *** Funding sources from the successor agency: (For fiscal 2011-12 only, references to RPTTF could also mean tax increment allocated to the Agency prior to February 1, 2012.)
 RPTTF - Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund
 LMIHF - Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund
 Bonds - Bond proceeds
 Admin - Successor Agency Administrative Allowance

Resolution No. OB1-2012

Exhibit A

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (NOT CERTIFIED BY COUNTY AUDITORS)
 Per AB 26 - Section 34177 (*)

Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Description	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Total Due During Fiscal Year 2011-2012**	Funding Source ***	Payable from Other Revenue Sources					Payments Next Year	
						Payments by month					Jul-12 through Dec-12	Jan-13 through June -13
						Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Total 5 Months	
COMPLETED PROJECTS												
1) Railroad Depot Project	Bay Pacific Pipeliners	per Superior Court Judgment	550,000	550,000	Bonds							
2) Badger Substation Fence Replacement	Structure Cast	per Superior Court Judgment	553,636	553,636	Bonds							
3) Badger Substation Fence Replacement	City labor costs related to project	per Superior Court Judgment	6,784	6,784	Bonds							
4) Badger Substation Fence Replacement	Various suppliers	per Superior Court Judgment	8,322	8,322	Bonds							
5) Recreation Park Improvements	Fedco Construction	per Superior Court Judgment	397,476	397,476	Bonds							
6) Recreation Park Improvements	Various suppliers	per Superior Court Judgment	928	928	Bonds							
7) North Street Utility Underground Project	City labor costs related to project	per Superior Court Judgment	22,026	22,026	Bonds							
8) North Street Utility Underground Project	Various suppliers	per Superior Court Judgment	41,499	41,499	Bonds							
9) North Street Utility Underground Project	Old Castle Precast	per Superior Court Judgment	1,323	1,323	Bonds							
10) North Street Utility Underground Project	Engelke Construction	per Superior Court Judgment	35,153	35,153	Bonds							
STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS												
11) Streetscape Improvements	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	967,992	-	Bonds/Reserves							967,993
12) Streetscape Improvements	Sonoma West Publications	per Superior Court Judgment	325	325	Bonds							
13) Streetscape Improvements	City labor costs related to project	per Superior Court Judgment	29,706	29,706	Bonds							
14) Streetscape Improvements	BKF Engineers	per Superior Court Judgment	271,000	271,000	Bonds							
15) Streetscape Improvements	BKF Engineers	per Superior Court Judgment	18,758	18,758	Bonds		54,068			35,579	89,647	
16) Streetscape Improvements	Oliver & Company	per Superior Court Judgment	100,551	100,551	Bonds							
17) Streetscape Improvements	Engelke Construction	per Superior Court Judgment	14,981	14,981	Bonds							
18) Streetscape Improvements	Dama Construction	per Superior Court Judgment	80,239	80,239	Bonds							
HEALDSBURG AVENUE BRIDGE												
19) Healdsburg Avenue Bridge	City labor costs related to project	per Superior Court Judgment	28,935	28,935	Bonds/Reserves							1,675
20) Healdsburg Avenue Bridge	Omni-Means	per Superior Court Judgment	98,022	98,022	Bonds/Reserves							148,659
21) Healdsburg Avenue Bridge	Meyers Nave	per Superior Court Judgment	974	974	Bonds/Reserves							
22) Healdsburg Avenue Bridge	to be determined	per Superior Court Judgment	1,937,499	-	Bonds/Reserves							719,884
23) Healdsburg Avenue Bridge	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	434,571	-	Bonds							
INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS												
24) Infrastructure Improvements	Bay Pacific Pipeliners	per Superior Court Judgment	81,914	81,914	Bonds/Reserves							
25) Infrastructure Improvements	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	1,918,086	-	Bonds							
RECYCLED SYSTEM UPGRADE												
26) Recycled Water System Upgrade	Agency Counsel	per Superior Court Judgment	8,657	8,657	Bonds/Reserves							
27) Recycled Water System Upgrade	City labor costs related to project	per Superior Court Judgment	20,226	20,226	Bonds/Reserves					11,500	11,500	11,500
28) Recycled Water System Upgrade	Winzler & Kelly	per Superior Court Judgment	31,818	31,818	Bonds/Reserves							50,000
29) Recycled Water System Upgrade	to be determined	per Superior Court Judgment	40,000	40,000	Bonds/Reserves					40,000	40,000	40,000
29) Recycled Water System Upgrade	Various suppliers	per Superior Court Judgment	3,051	3,051	Bonds/Reserves							
30) Recycled Water System Upgrade	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	1,462,582	-	Bonds							700,081
OTHER NON-HOUSING PROJECTS INCLUDED IN SUPERIOR COURT JUDGMENT												
31) Healdsburg Ave 5-way Intersection Improvements	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	2,400,000	-	Bonds							200,000
32) Foss Creek School Acquisition	Healdsburg Unified School District	per Superior Court Judgment	6,000,000	6,000,000	Bonds					6,000,000	6,000,000	200,000
33) Water /Sewer Capital Purchase Program	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	750,000	75,000	Reserves					75,000	75,000	350,000
34) Water Distribution System Upgrade	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	450,000	-	Bonds							225,000
35) Purity Property Improvements	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	1,197,500	-	Bonds							500,000
36) Extend Water/Sewer Services to South of City	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	6,000,000	-	Bonds							500,000
37) Facade Program	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	250,000	10,000	Reserves					10,000	10,000	75,000
38) Grease Interceptor Rebate Program	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	250,000	40,000	Reserves					40,000	40,000	75,000
39) Utility Underground Rebate Program	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	350,000	-	Reserves							350,000
LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND INCLUDED IN SUPERIOR COURT JUDGMENT												
40) Low and Moderate Income Housing Program	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	1,720,000	1,000,000	LMHIF/Reserves					1,000,000	1,000,000	720,000
41) Neighborhood Revitalization Program	City labor costs related to project	per Superior Court Judgment	98,154	98,152	LMHIF/Reserves	8,158	8,158	8,158	8,158	8,158	40,790	-
42) Neighborhood Revitalization Program	City of Healdsburg	per Superior Court Judgment	157,846	-	LMHIF/Reserves							157,846
Totals - LMHF/Reserves			1,976,000	1,098,152	N/A	8,158	8,158	8,158	8,158	1,008,158	1,040,790	877,846
Totals - Bonds			21,995,446	8,132,707	N/A	-	54,068	-	-	6,035,579	6,089,647	2,125,081
Totals - Bonds/Reserves			2,031,589	388,597	N/A	-	-	-	-	126,500	126,500	1,529,827
Totals - Reserves			850,000	50,000	N/A	-	-	-	-	50,000	50,000	150,000
Grand total - This Page			26,853,035	9,669,456	N/A	8,158	62,226	8,158	8,158	7,220,237	7,306,937	2,549,092

* The Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) is to be completed by 3/15/2012 by the successor agency, and subsequently be approved by the oversight board and audited by the County.

** All total due during fiscal year and payment amounts are projected.

*** Funding sources from the successor agency: (For fiscal 2011-12 only, references to RPTTF could also mean tax increment allocated to the Agency prior to February 1, 2012.)

RPTTF - Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund
 Bonds - Bond proceeds
 LMHIF - Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund
 Admin - Successor Agency Administrative Allowance

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (NOT CERTIFIED BY COUNTY AUDITORS)
 Per AB 26 - Section 34177 (*)

Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Description	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Total Due During Fiscal Year 2011-2012**	Funding Source **	Payable from the Administrative Allowance Allocation						Payments Next Year	
						Payments by month						Jul-12 through Dec-12	Jan-13 through Jul-13
						Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Total 5 Months		
ADMIN PERSONNEL & OVERHEAD													
1) Successor Agency Administrative Cost - Personnel	Employees, etc.	Administrative personnel cost required to comply with ABx1 26.	3,149,755.00	749,755.00	Reserves, RPTIF, Admin Allowance	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00	\$ 250,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
OTHER ADMIN NON-PERSONNEL													
2) Communications and Copiers/Fax Services	City Information Systems	Phones, data lines, voicemail, copiers	10.31	10.31	Reserves, RPTIF, Admin Allowance						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3) Technical Consultant	Keyser Marston Assoc Inc.	Consultant services for Successor Agency	16,984.31	16,984.31	Reserves, RPTIF, Admin Allowance						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4) Technical Consultant	Carmen Group	Consultant services for Successor Agency	9,750.00	9,750.00	Reserves, RPTIF, Admin Allowance						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5) Audit Services	Moss Levy & Hartzheim, CPAs	Annual Audits	170,000.00	17,653.00	Reserves, RPTIF, Admin Allowance					9,043	\$ 9,043	\$ 36,172	\$ 10,000
6) Legal Services	Meyers Nave	Legal services for Successor Agency	720,000.00	75,000.00	Reserves, RPTIF, Admin Allowance	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000
7) Legal Services	Contractor(s) to be determined	Legal services for Oversight Board, if deemed necessary and appropriate.	720,000.00	30,000.00	Reserves, RPTIF, Admin Allowance				15,000	15,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000
8) Legal Notices	MYHBG.TV, Press Democrat, Healdsburg Tribune	Post notices of general distribution	1,000.00	1,000.00	Reserves, RPTIF, Admin Allowance						\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000
9) Postage	Jart-Computer & Mailing Service, US Postmaster	Mailing expenses and Courier Services	5,000.00	5,000.00	Reserves, RPTIF, Admin Allowance						\$ -	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,500
10)											\$ -		
11)											\$ -		
12)											\$ -		
13)											\$ -		
14)											\$ -		
15)											\$ -		
16)											\$ -		
17)											\$ -		
18)											\$ -		
19)											\$ -		
20)											\$ -		
											\$ -		
Totals - This Page			\$ 4,792,499.62	\$ 905,152.62	N/A	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 89,043.00	\$435,436.00	\$520,672.00	\$494,500.00

* The Decognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) is to be completed by 3/15/2012 by the successor agency, and subsequently be approved by the oversight board and audited by the County.
 ** All total due during fiscal year and payment amounts are projected.
 *** Funding sources from the successor agency: (For fiscal 2011-12 only, references to RPTTF could also mean tax increment allocated to the Agency prior to February 1, 2012.)
 RPTTF - Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund Bonds - Bond proceeds Other - reserves, rents, interest earnings, etc.
 LMIHF - Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Admin - Successor Agency Administrative Allowance

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (NOT YET CERTIFIED)
Per AB 26 - Section 34177 (*)

Exhibit A

	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Description	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Total Due During Fiscal Year 2011-2012**	Source of Fund***	Pass Through and Other Payments					Payments Next Year			
							Payments by month					Jul-12 through Dec-12	Jan-13 through Jul-13		
							Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012			Total 5 Months	
1)	Pass Through Agreement	County	Payments per AB1290	25,997,378	674,657	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	674,657		
2)	Pass Through Agreement	County	Payments per former CRL 33401	48,678,379	2,205,324	Reserves, Trust Fund						-	2,205,324		
3)												-			
4)			Pass Thru Payments are estimates as the County calculates the figures, reduces the Agency's distributions and then distributes the amounts to the other taxing agencies.									-			
5)													-		
6)													-		
7)													-		
8)													-		
9)													-		
10)													-		
11)													-		
12)													-		
13)													-		
14)													-		
15)													-		
Totals - Other Obligations				74,675,757	2,879,981	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,879,981	-	

* The Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) is to be completed by 3/15/2012 by the successor agency, and subsequently be approved by the oversight board and audited by the County.

** All total due during fiscal year and payment amounts are projected.

*** Funding sources from the successor agency: (For fiscal 2011-12 only, references to RPTTF could also mean tax increment allocated to the Agency prior to February 1, 2012.)

RPTTF - Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund Bonds - Bond proceeds
LMIHF - Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Admin - Successor Agency Administrative Allowance

Resolution No. OB1-2012

Exhibit B

ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET

2/1/2012 - 6/30/2012

	<u>Personnel</u>	<u>Non-Personnel Operations Costs</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Ongoing Administrative Activities</u>	150,000.00	37,500.00	187,500.00
County correspondence/coordination			
State correspondence/coordination			
Prepare ROPS/obtain certification & approval			
Prepare admin budget			
Oversight Board staff support (includes education, research, reporting, Brown Act requirements, meeting costs)			
Management of dissolution activities			
Management of consultants/experts			
Creation/management of new contracts as approved			
Management of grants/other revenues			
Annual reporting			
Bill payment/reserves management			
Manage/monitor financial reserves			
<u>Dissolution Activities</u>	\$ 40,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 50,000
Communication/negotiation with taxing entities			
Transfer housing assets			
Oversight of asset liquidation			
<u>Operations and Overhead</u>	\$ 10,000	\$ 2,500	\$ 12,500
Travel expenses			
Supplies and small office equipment			
Publications			
Communications and IT support			
TOTAL	\$ 200,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 250,000

Notes:

Personnel cost includes salary, fringe, and benefits for staffing

Non-personnel cost includes approximately 20% of non-personnel operating costs listed on ROPS

Activities may be added, revised, or deleted from this listing as necessary and appropriate during the course of the RDA dissolution process.

Actual costs required for all activities will not to exceed the aggregate amount shown in the Grand Total line.